

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/845,897	04/28/1997	M. ASHRAF IMAM	77.897	8846	
7	590 01/06/2006	01/06/2006		EXAMINER	
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL PATENTS			VO, HAI		
NAVAL RESI CODE 3008 2	EARCH LABORATORY	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 203755000		1771		

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/845,897	IMAM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Hai Vo	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO c, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 N			
· <del>-</del>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6,8-10 and 12-16</u> is	s/are withdrawn from con	sideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4, 7, 11, and 17-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	s have been received in	Application No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio</li></ol>	rity documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 1771

1. The art rejections over Tsang et al (US 4,605,595) are maintained.

2. The art rejections over Reitz (US 4,759,000) are withdrawn in view of the 09/28/2005 Decision on Appeal.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
   A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 7, 11, 19, 22, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsang et al (US 4,605,595) substantially as set forth in the 09/10/2003 Answer. Tsang discloses an open foam structure comprised of sheets of aluminum which are vacuum impregnated with a slurry of an epoxy resin binder which contains fillers and/or friction modifiers so as to produce a filled foam structure. It appears that nothing in Applicants' specification discloses or suggests the inclusion of additional materials in the foamed polymer layer affect the novel or basic characteristics of Applicants' invention. The absence of fillers or friction modifiers in Application disclosure does not necessarily mean that their presence in the composite article would materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the claimed invention. Therefore, Applicants bear the burden in establishing that non-recited components materially change the characteristics of Applicants' invention (MPEP 2112; *In re Delajarte* 143 USPQ 256) in order to overcome the issues of anticipation. Accordingly, the language

Art Unit: 1771

"consisting essentially of" is treated as "comprising" until Applicants provide the evidence in establishing that non-recited components materially change the characteristics of Applicants' invention. Therefore, Tsang anticipates the claimed subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 17, 18, 20, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsang (US 4,605,595) substantially as set forth in the 09/10/2003 Answer. With regard to claims 17 and 18, Tsang does not specifically disclose pore size or the pore size relationship of the pores of the metal foam. However, it is well-known in the art that the pore size distribution directly effects the properties of the foam. Therefore, it would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art to have used a uniform pore sized foam, motivated by the desire to obtain a foam having substantially uniform properties along the entire length of the foam. Likewise, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to use a foam with gradation of pore sizes, motivated by the desire to obtain a foam with properties that vary along its length.

With regard to claim 20, a laminate containing a plurality of impregnated metal foam sheets is not literally disclosed in Tsang or Reitz. However, the

Art Unit: 1771

skilled artisan would have found it obvious to form a laminate containing a plurality of like impregnated metal foam sheets, motivated by the desire to further enhance the properties exhibited by the use of one impregnated metal foam sheet.

With regard to claim 21, Tsang does not specifically discloses the thickness of the metal foam being no less than 3 times the average diameter of the cells. However, such a variable would have been recognized by one skilled in the art as to enhance the compressive and tensile strength of the metal foam. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to prepare a metal foam having a smaller average cell diameter, motivated by the desire to have optimized the compressive, flexural, shear and tensile strength of the resulting impregnated foam. As such, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have optimized either the thickness of the metal foam or the average cell diameter of the metal foam motivated by the desire to enhance the tensile strength and barrier properties of the metal foam since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

#### Response to Arguments

7. The art rejections over Tsang (US 4,605,595) have been maintained for the following reasons. Applicants argue that the additional material such as a filler, a

Application/Control Number: 08/845,897

Art Unit: 1771

friction modifier and a reinforcing fiber would displace the polymer, thereby resulting in less polymer being present and less ability for acoustic damping. The arguments are not found persuasive because they are not fully supported by any factual evidence but rather based on Applicants' opinion. As such, the affidavit or evidence needs to be provided in establishing that non-recited components would materially change the characteristics of Applicants' invention to overcome the issues of anticipation or finding of obviousness.

Page 5

#### Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1485. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 6:00 to 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HV

HAI VO PRIMARY EXAMINER